HARRIS COUNTY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 61 HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS ANNUAL AUDIT REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2010

CONTENTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	2-8
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET	9
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES	10
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	11-23
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE, BUDGET AND ACTUAL, GENERAL FUND	24
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE, BUDGET AND ACTUAL, SPECIAL REVENUE FUND	25
SCHEDULE OF TEXAS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	26
SCHEDULE OF SERVICES AND RATES	27-28
EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2010	29
ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN DEPOSITS, ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES	30
SCHEDULE OF CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT	31
TAXES LEVIED AND RECEIVABLE	32-33
LONG-TERM BONDED DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS, BY YEARS	34-35
ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN GENERAL LONG-TERM BONDED DEBT	36
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES, GENERAL FUND	37
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES, DEBT SERVICE FUND	38
BOARD MEMBERS, KEY PERSONNEL AND CONSULTANTS	39-40

Mark L. Roth

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT CERTIFIED FRAUD EXAMINER

4915 S. Main • Suite 114 • Stafford, Texas 77477 • 281-277-9595 • Fax 281-277-9484

January 25, 2011

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61 Harris County, Texas

I have audited the accompanying financial statements of Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61 as of October 31, 2010, and for the year then ended, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the management of Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61. My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. I believe that my audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinion.

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61 as of October 31, 2010, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis on Pages 2 to 8 and Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance, Budget and Actual, General Fund, on Page 24 and Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance, Budget and Actual, Special Revenue Fund, on Page 25 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. I have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to my inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge I obtained during my audit of the basic financial statements. I do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide me with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

My audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61's financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information on Pages 26 to 40 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Except for the portion marked "unaudited," this supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In my opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information marked "unaudited" has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, I do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it. The accompanying supplementary information includes financial data excerpted from prior year financial statements which were audited by my firm except for the years ended October 31, 2007, which were audited by other independent auditors whose report dated April 11, 2008, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Using this Annual Report

Within this section of the Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61 (the "District") annual report, the District's Board of Directors provides narrative discussion and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010.

The annual report consists of a series of financial statements plus additional supplemental information to the financial statements as required by its state oversight agency, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. In accordance with required reporting standards, the District reports its financial activities as a special-purpose government. Special-purpose governments are governmental entities which engage in a single governmental program. In the District's case, the single governmental program is provision of water and sewer services. The financial statements of special-purpose governments combine two types of financial statements into one statement. These two types of financial statements are the government-wide financial statements and the fund financial statements. The fund financial statements are presented on the left side of the statements, a column for adjustments is to the right of the fund financial statements, and the government-wide financial statements are presented to the right side of the adjustments column. The following sections describe the measurement focus of the two types of statements and the significant differences in the information they provide.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The focus of government-wide financial statements is on the overall financial position and activities of the District. The District's government-wide financial statements include the statement of net assets and statement of activities, which are prepared using accounting principles that are similar to commercial enterprises. The purpose of the statement of net assets is to attempt to report all of the assets and liabilities owned by the District. The District reports all of its assets when it acquires or begins to maintain the assets and reports all of its liabilities when they are incurred.

The difference between the District's total assets and total liabilities is labeled as *net* assets and this difference is similar to the total owners' equity presented by a commercial enterprise.

The purpose of the statement of activities is to present the revenues and expenses of the District. Again, the items presented on the statement of activities are measured in a manner similar to the approach used by a commercial enterprise in that revenues are recognized when earned or established criteria are satisfied and expenses are reported when incurred by the District. Thus, revenues are reported even when they may not be collected for several months or years after the end of the accounting period and expenses are recorded even though they may not have used cash during the current period.

Although the statement of activities looks different from a commercial enterprise's income statement, the financial statement is different only in format, not substance. Whereas the bottom line in a commercial enterprise is its net income, the District reports an amount described as *change in net assets*, essentially the same thing.

Fund Financial Statements

Unlike government-wide financial statements, the focus of fund financial statements is directed to specific activities of the District rather than the District as a whole. Except for the General Fund, a specific fund is established to satisfy managerial control over resources or to satisfy finance-related legal requirements established by external parties or governmental statutes or regulations.

Governmental fund financial statements consist of a balance sheet and statement of revenues, expenditures and change in fund balances and are prepared on an accounting basis that is significantly different from that used to prepare the government-wide financial statements.

In general, these financial statements have a short-term emphasis and, for the most part, measure and account for cash and other assets that can easily be converted into cash. For example, amounts reported on the balance sheet include items such as cash and receivables collectible within a very short period of time, but do not include capital assets such as land and water and sewer systems. Fund liabilities include amounts that are to be paid within a very short period after the end of the fiscal year. The difference between a fund's total assets and total liabilities is labeled the fund balance, and generally indicates the amount that can be used to finance the next fiscal year's' activities. Likewise, the operating statement for governmental funds reports only those revenues and expenditures that were collected in cash or paid with cash, respectively, during the current period or very shortly after the end of the fiscal year.

Because the focus of the government-wide and fund financial statements are different, there are significant differences between the totals presented in these financial statements. For this reason, there is an analysis in Note 3 of the notes to the financial statements that reconciles the total fund balances to the amount of net assets presented in the governmental activities column on the statement of net assets. Also, there is an analysis in Note 3 of the notes to the financial statements that reconciles the total change in fund balances for all governmental funds to the change in net assets as reported in the governmental activities column in the statement of activities.

Financial Analysis of the District as a Whole

Financial Analysis of the District as a Whole begins with an understanding of how financial resources flow through the District's funds. Resources in the Capital Projects Fund are derived principally from proceeds of the sale of bonds, and expenditures from this fund are subject to the Rules of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. Resources in the Debt Service Fund are derived principally from the collection of property taxes and are used for the payment of tax collection costs and bond principal and interest. Resources in the General Fund are derived principally from property taxes and billings for water and sewer services and are used to operate and maintain the system and to pay costs of administration of the District.

Management has financial objectives for each of the District's funds. The financial objective for the Capital Projects Fund is to spend the funds as necessary in accordance with the Rules of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. The financial objective for the Debt Service Fund is to levy the taxes necessary to pay the fiscal year debt service requirements plus the cost of levying and collecting taxes, leaving the appropriate fund balance as recommended by the District's financial advisor. The financial objective for the General Fund is to keep the fund's expenditures as low as possible while ensuring that revenues are adequate to cover expenditures and maintaining the fund balance that Management believes is prudent. The financial objective for the Special Revenue Fund is to insure that the expenditures in the funds are billed to the participants in accordance with the contract. Management believes that these financial objectives were met during the fiscal year.

Management believes that the required method of accounting for certain elements of the government-wide financial statements makes the government-wide financial statements as a whole not useful for financial analysis. In the government-wide financial statements, capital assets and depreciation expense have been required to be recorded at historical cost. Management's policy is to maintain the District's capital assets in a condition greater than or equal to the condition required by regulatory authorities, and management does not believe that depreciation expense is relevant to the management of the District. In the government-wide financial statements, costs of issuance and certain non-cash costs of long-term debt are capitalized and amortized over the life of the related debt. Management believes that this required method of accounting is not useful for financial analysis of the District and prefers to consider the required cash flows of the debt as reported in the fund statements and the notes to the financial statements. In the government-wide financial statements, property tax revenues are required to be recorded in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied, regardless of the year of collection. Management believes that the cash basis method of accounting for property taxes in the funds provides more useful financial information.

The following required summaries of the District's overall financial position and operations for the past two years are based on the information included in the government-wide financial statements. For the reasons described in the preceding paragraph, a separate analysis of the summaries is not presented.

<u>Summary of Net Assets</u>

	2010		2009*		 Change
Current and other assets Capital assets Total assets	\$	2,369,184 4,669,524 7,038,708	\$	2,224,229 3,965,758 6,189,987	\$ 144,955 703,766 848,721
Long-term liabilities Other liabilities Total liabilities		5,379,537 967,492 6,347,029	_	4,823,605 907,057 5,730,662	555,932 60,435 616,367
Net assets: Invested in capital assets, net of related debt Restricted Unrestricted Total net assets	<u>\$</u>	(904,559) 1,226,140 370,098 691,679	\$	(1,052,393) 1,130,428 381,290 459,325	\$ 147,834 95,712 (11,192) 232,354

<u>Summary of Changes in Net Assets</u>

		2010	2009		Change		
Revenues: Property taxes, including related penalty and interest Charges for services Sales and Use Taxes Other revenues Total revenues	\$	646,947 512,880 18,777 15,655 1,194,259	\$	586,481 517,195 14,055 16,829	\$	60,466 (4,315) 4,722 (1,174) 59,699	
	-	1,194,209		1,134,560		39,099	
Expenses: Service operations Debt service Total expenses		913,673 118,304 1,031,977		910,866 99,120 1,009,986		2,807 19,184 21,991	
Excess revenues (expenditures)		162,282		124,574		37,708	
Special Item: Contribution by developer		100,000		0		100,000	
Change in net assets		262,282		124,574		121,991	
Net assets, beginning of year		429,397		304,823		124,574	
Net assets, end of year	\$	691,679	\$	429,397	\$	262,282	

^{*}Restated. See Note 13 of the Notes to the Financial Statements.

Financial Analysis of the District's Funds

The District's combined fund balances as of the end of the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010, were \$1,631,292, an increase of \$117,535 from the prior year.

The General Fund balance increased by \$11,187, net of the contribution by a developer of \$100,000.

The Special Revenue Fund balance did not change.

The Debt Service Fund balance increased by \$134,233, in accordance with the District's financial plan.

The Capital Projects Fund balance decreased by \$27,885, as authorized expenditures exceeded interest on deposits.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

The Board of Directors amended the budget during the fiscal year. The original budget adopted by the Board of Directors did not anticipate a change in the fund balance during the year. During the fiscal year, the Board of Directors adopted an amended budget which anticipated a decrease in the fund balance during the year of \$95,525. The changes from the original budget to the final budget were primarily due to increases in administrative, professional fees and capital outlay expenditures but also included minor changes to various revenues and expenditures.

There were significant differences between the amended budgetary amounts and the actual amounts. Actual water service revenues and administrative and capital outlay expenditures were lower than budgeted. Actual surface water fees revenues and purchased services and professional fees expenditures were higher than budgeted. Penalty revenues and interest on prepaid surface water capital contribution revenues were not budgeted. The amended budgetary fund balance as of October 31, 2010, was expected to be \$594,390, and the actual end of year fund balance was \$701,102.

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

Capital Assets

Capital assets held by the District at the end of the current and previous fiscal years are summarized as follows:

<u>Capital Assets (Net of Accumulated Depreciation)</u>

	2010		 2009	 Change
Land	\$	19,659	\$ 19,659	\$ 0
Construction in progress		3,276,410	2,758,021	518,389
Water facilities		804,917	874,234	(69,317)
Sewer facilities		568,538	 313,844	254,694
Totals	\$	4,669,524	\$ 3,965,758	\$ 703,766

Changes to capital assets during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010, are summarized as follows:

Additions:		
Contribution to regional wastewater treatment plant	\$	3,422
Detention pond and utilities construction by developers		765,932
Engineering for amenity pond		39,549
Total additions to capital assets		808,903
Decreases:		
Depreciation		(105,137)
Net change to capital assets	<u>\$</u>	703,766

Debt

Changes in the bonded debt position of the District during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010, are summarized as follows:

Bonded debt payable, beginning of year	\$ 2,580,000
Bonds paid	 (210,000)
Bonded debt payable, end of year	\$ 2,370,000

At October 31, 2010, the District had \$39,315,000 of bonds authorized but unissued for the purposes of acquiring, constructing and improving the water, sanitary sewer and drainage system within the District.

The District's bonds have an underlying rating of Baa1 by Moody's Investors Service (Moody's). The Series 2000 bonds are insured by National Public Finance Guarantee Corp. (formerly Financial Guaranty Insurance Company (FGIC)), and the Series 2003 bonds are insured by American Municipal Bond Assurance Corporation (Ambac). The rating of the Series 2000 and Series 2003 bonds is Baa1 by Moody's. There were changes in the rating of the Series 2003 bonds during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010. The rating changed due to the changes rating of the company insuring these bonds.

As further described in Note 5 of the notes to the financial statements, developers within the District are currently constructing certain underground facilities within the District's boundaries. The District has agreed to reimburse the developers for these construction and related engineering costs plus interest not to exceed the interest rate of the applicable District bond issue. These amounts are to be reimbursed from the proceeds of a future bond issue to the extent approved by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. The District's engineer stated that cost of the construction in progress at October 31, 2010, was \$3,219,537.

RELEVANT FACTORS AND WATER SUPPLY ISSUES

Property Tax Base

As of October 31, 2010, the District's tax base had decreased approximately \$10,700,000 for the 2010 tax year. Approximately \$9,500,000 additional valuation remained uncertified at that date.

Relationship to the City of Houston

Under existing Texas law, since the District lies wholly within the extraterritorial jurisdiction of the City of Houston, the District must conform to a City of Houston ordinance consenting to the creation of the District. In addition, the District may be annexed by the City of Houston without the District's consent. If the District is annexed, the City will assume the District's assets and obligations (including the bonded indebtedness) and dissolve the District within ninety (90) days.

Utilizing a provision of Texas law, the City of Houston ("City") and the District entered into a Strategic Partnership Agreement ("SPA") effective as of April 11, 2003. The SPA was amended effective December 14, 2009. The SPA provides for the limited purpose annexation of certain developed commercial tracts within the District into the City for the limited purposes of imposition of the City's Sales and Use Tax, certain municipal court jurisdictions, and health inspection services and enforcement. No other City services are provided. The properties made subject to the SPA may not be taxed for ad valorem purposes by the City. Additional properties may become subject to the SPA by amending the SPA upon the consent of the City and the District. The City pays the District an amount equal to 50 percent of all Sales and Use Tax revenues generated from the properties subject to the SPA. The term of the SPA is 30 years. During the term of the SPA, the City has agreed not to annex all or part of the District or commence any action to annex all or part of the District for full purposes.

The City has imposed a Sales and Use Tax within the boundaries of the Partial District at the time of the limited-purpose annexation of the Partial District. The Agreement provides that the City shall pay to the District one half of all Sales and Use Tax revenues generated within the boundaries of the Partial District and received by the City from the Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State of Texas.

Water Supply Issues

The District is within the Harris-Galveston Subsidence District (the "Subsidence District") Regulatory Area No. 3. The Subsidence District regulates the withdrawal of groundwater within its jurisdiction. The District's authority to pump ground water from its well is subject to annual permits issued by the Subsidence District. The Subsidence District has ordered certain areas of suburban Houston to convert most of their water supply to surface water under various schedules. Beginning in January 2003, the District was required to have a groundwater reduction plan ("GRP"), approved by the Subsidence District and by January 2005, the District must have provided evidence to the Subsidence District that construction of the infrastructure defined within the District's certified groundwater reduction plan has started. The Subsidence District has designated January 2010, as the date required for the District to restrict the withdrawal of ground water and convert 30% of its total water use to surface water; January 2020, as the date required for the District to restrict the withdrawal of ground water and convert 70% of its total water use to surface water and January 2030, as the date required for the District to restrict the withdrawal of ground water and convert 80% of its total water use to surface water. If the District does not meet the requirements of the Subsidence District, the District may be required to pay the disincentive fees adopted by the Subsidence District.

In May, 2001, the Texas Legislature created the West Harris County Regional Water Authority (the "Authority") and included the District within the boundaries of the Authority. The Authority was created to provide a regional entity to build the necessary facilities to meet the subsidence District's requirements for conversion from ground water to surface water of all permit holders within its boundaries, including the District. Accordingly, the District is required to pay groundwater reduction plan fees to the Authority, and in turn is entitled to rely upon the Authority's GRP to achieve compliance with the subsidence District's requirements. In accordance with the GRP, the Authority has negotiated a water supply contract with the City of Houston and has issued revenue bonds to finance the surface water supply system. The Authority may establish such fees, charges, or tolls as necessary to accomplish its purposes. The Authority's surface water pumpage fee was equal to \$1.25 per 1,000 gallons pumped for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010, \$1.55 effective January 1, 2011, and is expected to increase in the future. The Authority's surface water usage fee was equal to \$1.55 per 1,000 gallons for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010, \$1.85 effective January 1, 2011, and is expected to increase in the future.

The Authority, as part of the plan of financing the Authority System, has elected to allow districts, such as the District, to participate in a pro-rata share of the costs associated with the acquisition and construction of the Authority System (including the costs associated with the acquisition of alternate sources of water supply) by issuing its own debt or using cash on hand, entitling the District to a future credit against pumpage fees due to the Authority. The District has contributed \$355,443 to the Authority for its share of the construction costs in connection with the Authority's first bond issue.

The District cannot predict the amount or level of fees and charges which may be due the Authority for future years, but anticipates that it will pass such fees through to its customers in higher water rates. In addition, conversion to surface water will necessitate improvements to the District's water supply system, which could require issuance of additional bonds. In the event the Authority fails to commence construction of surface water infrastructure by the deadline established by the Subsidence District, the District and others within the Authority's GRP group could be required to pay the disincentive fee on withdrawn groundwater. This disincentive fee is substantial, and the District expects it would need to pass such fee through to its customers in higher water rates. This disincentive fee would be in addition to the Authority's fee.

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET

OCTOBER 31, 2010

	General		Special Revenue Fund		Debt Service		Capital Projects		Total	Adjustments (Note 3)		tatement Vet Assets
ASSETS												
Cash, including interest-bearing accounts, Note 7 Certificates of deposit, at cost, Note 7 Receivables:	\$ 350,14	47 \$	29,916	\$	255,701 220,000	\$	448,108	\$	1,083,872 220,000	\$	\$	1,083,872 220,000
Property taxes	147,3	43			432,209				579,552	4 000		579,552
Accrued penalty and interest on property taxes Service accounts	40,08	39							0 40,089	1,832		1,832 40,089
Accrued interest	0.0	20			506				506			506
Other Due from participant in joint plant	9,0	32	8,675						9,032 8,675			9,032 8,675
Due from developer Due from other districts, Note 9	58,0	30							58,030 0	15,454		58,030 15,454
Due from other fund			24,357		3,364				27,721	(27,721)		15,454
Prepaid surface water capital contribution, Note 10 Operating reserve at joint plants, Note 9	332,69 30,00								332,655 30,000	(20,000)		332,655 10,000
Groundwater bank certificates, at cost, Note 12	9,48								9,487	(20,000)		9,487
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, Note 4: Capital assets not being depreciated									0	3,296,069		0 3,296,069
Depreciable capital assets						_			0	1,373,455		1,373,455
Total Assets	\$ 976,78	33 \$	62,948	\$	911,780	\$	448,108	\$	2,399,619	4,639,089		7,038,708
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ =		<u> </u>	,	<u> </u>	,	<u> </u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
LIABILITIES												
Accounts payable	\$ 67,30	00 \$	32,948	\$	1,929	\$	25,560	\$	127,737			127,737
Accrued interest payable Customer and builder deposits	21,3	17							0 21,317	13,382		13,382 21,317
Due to developer	12,0	00							12,000			12,000
Due to other funds Other district equity in joint plant	27,7	21							27,721 0	(27,721) 10,000		0 10,000
Deferred property tax revenues	147,3	43			432,209				579,552	(6,496)		573,056
Long-term liabilities, Note 5: Due within one year									0	210,000		210,000
Due in more than one year									0	5,379,537		5,379,537
Total liabilities	275,6	31	32,948		434,138	_	25,560		768,327	5,578,702		6,347,029
FUND BALANCES / NET ASSETS												
Fund balances: Nonspendable:												
Prepaid surface water capital contribution, Note 10	332,6	55							332,655	(332,655)		0
Operating reserve at joint plants, Note 9 Reserved for groundwater bank certificates, Note 12	30,00 9,48								30,000 9,487	(30,000) (9,487)		0
Restricted for operating reserve, Note 9	3,40	31	30,000						30,000	(30,000)		0
Committed to construction contracts in progress Assigned to:							34,481		34,481	(34,481)		0
Debt service					477,642				477,642	(477,642)		0
Capital projects Unassigned	220 0	20					388,067		388,067 328,960	(388,067)		0 0
Onassigned	328,9			_		_			326,900	(328,960)	_	0
Total fund balances	701,10	02	30,000		477,642	_	422,548	_	1,631,292	(1,631,292)		0
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$ 976,78	33 \$	62,948	\$	911,780	\$	448,108	\$	2,399,619			
Net assets:										(004 550)		(904 550)
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt, Note 4 Restricted for debt service										(904,559) 470,937		(904,559) 470,937
Restricted for capital projects										422,548		422,548
Restricted for prepaid surface water capital contribution Unrestricted										332,655 370,098		332,655 370,098
Total net assets										\$ 691,679	\$	691,679

$\frac{\texttt{STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND}{\texttt{CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES}}$

FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2010

	General Fund	Special Revenue Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Total	Adjustments (Note 3)	Statement of Activities
REVENUES						()	
Property taxes W ater service Sewer service Surface water fees, Note 10	\$ 162,230 164,183 118,418 103,250	\$	\$ 475,936	\$	\$ 638,166 164,183 118,418 103,250	\$ (3,446)	\$ 634,720 164,183 118,418 103,250
From participants in plant, Note 9 Penalty and interest Lease revenue, Note 9 Sales and Use Taxes, Note 11 Interest on prepaid surface water	6,305 14,080 18,777	311,266	12,649		311,266 18,954 14,080 18,777	(228,149) (422)	83,117 18,532 14,080 18,777
capital contribution, Note 10 Interest on deposits Other revenues	15,643 2,040 7,884		5,309	8,306	15,643 15,655 7,884		15,643 15,655 7,884
Total revenues	612,810	311,266	493,894	8,306	1,426,276	(232,017)	1,194,259
EXPENDITURES / EXPENSES							
Service operations: Purchased services, Note 9 Professional fees	336,438 170,305	15,408	3,649	13,944	336,438 203,306	(228,149)	108,289 203,306
Contracted services Utilities Surface water pumpage fees, Note 10 Repairs and maintenance	24,358 4,812 74,382	12,425 55,661 171,691 37,043	16,113	18,825	52,896 60,473 171,691 130,250		52,896 60,473 171,691 130,250
Other operating expenditures Administrative expenditures Depreciation	4,337 47,442	12,938 6,100	10,814	10,020	17,275 64,356 0	105,137	17,275 64,356 105,137
Capital outlay / non-capital outlay Debt service: Principal retirement	39,549		210,000	3,422	42,971 210,000	(42,971) (210,000)	0
Interest and fees			119,085		119,085	(781)	118,304
Total expenditures / expenses	701,623	311,266	359,661	36,191	1,408,741	(376,764)	1,031,977
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(88,813)	0	134,233	(27,885)	17,535	144,747	162,282
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)							
Contribution by developer	100,000	0	0	0	100,000	(100,000)	0
Total other financing sources (uses)	100,000	0	0	0	100,000	(100,000)	0
SPECIAL ITEMS							
Contribution by developer	0	0	0	0	0	100,000	100,000
Total special items	0	0	0	0	0	100,000	100,000
Net change in fund balances / net assets	11,187	0	134,233	(27,885)	117,535	144,747	262,282
Beginning of year, restated, Note 13	689,915	30,000	343,409	450,433	1,513,757	(1,084,360)	429,397
End of year	\$ 701,102	\$ 30,000	\$ 477,642	\$ 422,548	\$ 1,631,292	\$ (939,613)	\$ 691,679

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

OCTOBER 31, 2010

NOTE 1: REPORTING ENTITY

Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61 (the "District") was created by an order of the Texas Water Rights Commission (now the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality) effective May 2, 1973, and operates in accordance with Texas Water Code Chapters 49 and 54. The District is a political subdivision of the State of Texas, governed by an elected five member Board of Directors. The District is subject to the continuing supervision of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality.

The District is empowered, among other things, to purchase, construct, operate and maintain all works, improvements, facilities and plants necessary for the supply of water; the collection, transportation and treatment of wastewater; and the control and diversion of storm water. The District may provide garbage disposal and collection services. In addition, the District is empowered, if approved by the electorate, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and other governmental entities having jurisdiction, to establish, operate and maintain a fire department, either independently or jointly with certain other districts.

In evaluating how to define the District for financial reporting purposes, the Board of Directors of the District has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The basic, but not the only, criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations and accountability for fiscal matters. The other criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the District is able to exercise oversight responsibilities.

The District is a participant in the Williamsburg Regional Sewage Treatment Plant operated by the Williamsburg Regional Sewage Authority (the "Authority"). Oversight of the Authority is exercised by the Board of Delegates of the Authority which is comprised of representatives from the participants. Based on the criteria described above, the Authority's financial activity has not been included in the District's financial statements. Transactions with and summary financial information of this joint venture are described in Note 9

The District and Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 62 are participants in joint water plant facilities (the "Facilities"). Oversight of the Facilities is exercised by the Board of Directors of the District and financial activity of the Facilities has been included as a component unit in the financial statements of the District. The Facilities' General Fund has been reported as the Special Revenue Fund of the District. Transactions with this joint venture are described in Note 9.

NOTE 2: SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The District's financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (the "GASB") is responsible for establishing GAAP for state and local governments through its pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations). Governments are also required to follow the pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued through November 30, 1989 (when applicable), that do not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. The more significant accounting policies established in GAAP and used by the District are discussed below.

Basic Financial Statements

The District's basic financial statements include both government-wide (reporting the District as a whole) and governmental fund financial statements (reporting the District's funds). Because the District is a single-program government as defined by the GASB, the District has combined the government-wide statements and the fund financial statements using a columnar format that reconciles individual line items of fund financial data to government-wide data in a separate column on the face of the financial statements. An additional reconciliation between the fund and the government-wide financial data is presented in Note 3.

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the District. The effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. The District's net assets are reported in three parts – invested in capital assets, net of related debt; restricted net assets; and unrestricted net assets. The District first utilizes restricted resources to finance qualifying activities. The government-wide statement of activities reports the components of the changes in net assets during the reporting period.

The financial transactions of the District are reported in individual funds in the fund financial statements. Each fund is accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprises its assets, liabilities, fund balances, revenues and expenditures and changes in fund balances. The District's fund balances are reported as nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned or unassigned. Nonspendable fund balances are either not in spendable form or are contractually required to remain intact. Restricted fund balances include amounts that can only be used for the specific purposes stipulated by constitutional provisions, external resource providers or enabling legislation. Committed fund balances include amounts that can only be used for the specific purposes determined by formal action of the District's Board of Directors. Assigned fund balances are intended for a specific purpose but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the District's General Fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications. The transactions of the District are accounted for in the following funds:

General Fund -- To account for all revenues and expenditures not required to be accounted for in other funds.

Special Revenue Fund -- To account for all revenues and expenditures of the general operations of the joint water plant facilities .

Debt Service Fund -- To account for the accumulation of financial resources for, and the payment of, bond principal and interest, paid principally from property taxes levied by the District.

Capital Projects Fund -- To account for financial resources designated to construct or acquire capital assets. Such resources are derived principally from proceeds of the sale of bonds.

Basis of Accounting

The government-wide statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Ad valorem property taxes are recognized as revenues in the fiscal year for which they have been levied and related penalties and interest are recognized in the fiscal year in which they are imposed. An allowance for uncollectibles is estimated for delinquent property taxes and reported separately in the financial statements.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available if they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred except for principal and interest on bonds payable which are recorded only when payment is due.

Interfund Activity

Activity between funds that is representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year is reported as interfund receivables or payables, as appropriate, as are all other outstanding balances between funds. Operating transfers between funds represent legally authorized transfers from the fund receiving resources to the fund through which the resources are to be expended.

Receivables

Service accounts receivable as reported are considered collectible. The District uses the direct write off method for uncollectible service accounts. Unbilled water and sewer revenues are not material and are not recorded at year end. The District considers service accounts revenues to be available if they are to be collected within 60 days after the end of the fiscal year.

In the fund financial statements, ad valorem taxes and penalties and interest are reported as revenues in the fiscal year in which they become available to finance expenditures of the fiscal year for which they have been levied. Property taxes which have been levied and are not yet collected (or have been collected in advance of the fiscal year for which they have been levied) are recorded as deferred revenues. Property taxes collected after the end of the fiscal year are not included in revenues.

Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and immovable public domain or "infrastructure" assets are reported in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the District as assets with an initial individual cost of more than \$10,000 (including installation costs, if any, and associated professional fees) and an estimated useful life in excess of two years. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed by the District. Donated capital assets are recorded at historical cost. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset or increase the value of an asset are capitalized. Costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

Depreciation on capital assets is computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Plant and equipment 10-45 years Underground lines 45 years

Prepaid Surface Water Capital Contributions

The District reports the surface water fee charges incurred by the District at the gross amount of the charges. Credits received under the terms of the Capital Contribution Contract (the "Contract") are recorded as either a repayment of the principal amount of the prepaid surface water capital contribution or interest earned on the prepayment at the interest rate of the applicable Contract. The principal is amortized based upon the life of the credits and the interest rate of the applicable Contract.

Long-term Liabilities

Long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported in the government-wide financial statements. Bond premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable premium or discount. Bond issuance costs are reported as deferred charges and amortized over the term of the related debt. If bonds are refunded and the carrying amount of the new debt is different than the net carrying amount of the old debt, the difference is netted against the new debt and amortized using the effective interest method over the shorter of the remaining life of the refunded debt or the life of the new debt issued.

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as expenditures of the fund from which they are paid.

NOTE 3: RECONCILIATION OF FUND TO GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reconciliation of year end fund balances to net assets:

Total fund balances, end of year		\$ 1,631,292
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds: Capital assets, net		4,669,524
Some long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds: Bonds payable Due to developers	\$ (2,370,000) (3,219,537)	(5,589,537)
The assets in the special revenue fund are owned by the District and other participants in the joint venture: The District's equity Other participants' equity	(20,000) (10,000)	(30,000)
Some receivables that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as receivables in the funds: Due from other districts Accrued penalty and interest on property taxes receivable Uncollected property taxes	15,454 1,832 6,496	23,782
Some liabilities that do not require the use of current financial resources are not reported as liabilities in the funds: Accrued interest		(13,382)
Net assets, end of year		\$ 691,679

Reconciliation of net change in fund balances to change in net assets:

Total net change in fund balances		\$ 117,535
The funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense: Capital outlay Depreciation	\$ 42,971 (105,137)	(62,166)
The issuance of long-term debt (bonds payable) provides current financial resources to the funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of the funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net assets. The effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt: Principal reduction		210,000
Some revenues reported in the statement of activities do not provide current financial resources and therefore are not reported as revenues in the funds: Accrued penalty and interest on property taxes receivable Uncollected property taxes	(422) (3,446)	(3,868)
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in the funds: Accrued interest		 781
Change in net assets		\$ 262,282

NOTE 4: CAPITAL ASSETS

At October 31, 2010, "Invested in capital assets, net of related debt" was \$(904,559). This amount was negative primarily because not all expenditures from bond proceeds were for the acquisition of capital assets. Within Harris County, the county government assumes the maintenance and other incidents of ownership of most storm sewer facilities constructed by the District. Accordingly, these assets are not recorded in the financial statements of the District. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 34, expenditures for bond issuance costs prior to the implementation of GASB Statement No. 34 on January 1, 2004, were not recorded as deferred charges which would offset the related liability of bonds payable. In addition, some expenditures from bond proceeds were for the acquisition of capital assets beneath the capitalization threshold of \$5,000 (see Note 2) and some authorized expenditures were not for capital assets.

Capital asset activity for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010, was as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Increases	Decreases	Ending Balance
Capital assets not being depreciated: Land Construction in progress	\$ 19,659 2,758,021	\$ <u>808,903</u>	\$ 290,514	\$ 19,659 3,276,410
Total capital assets not being depreciated	2,777,680	808,903	290,514	3,296,069
Depreciable capital assets: Water system Sewer system	1,899,694 1,030,148	290,514		1,899,694 1,320,662
Total depreciable capital assets Less accumulated depreciation for: Water system Sewer system	2,929,842 (1,025,460) (716,304)	290,514 (69,317) (35,820)	0	3,220,356 (1,094,777) (752,124)
Total accumulated depreciation	(1,741,764)	(105,137)	0	(1,846,901)
Total depreciable capital assets, net	1,188,078	185,377	0	1,373,455
Total capital assets, net	\$ 3,965,758	\$ 994,280	<u>\$ 290,514</u>	\$ 4,669,524
Changes to capital assets: Capital outlay Assets transferred to depreciable assets Increase in liability to developer Less depreciation expense for the fiscal year		\$ 42,971 290,514 765,932 (105,137)	\$ 290,514	
Net increases / decreases to capital assets		\$ 994,280	\$ 290,514	

NOTE 5: LONG-TERM LIABILITIES AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Long-term liability activity for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010 was as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Ending <u>Balance</u>	Due within One Year
Bonds payable	\$ 2,580,000	\$	\$ 210,000	\$ 2,370,000	\$ 210,000
Due to developer (see below)	2,453,605	765,932		3,219,537	
Total long-term liabilities	\$ 5,033,605	\$ 765,932	\$ 210,000	\$ 5,589,537	\$ 210,000

Developer Construction Commitments and Liabilities

Developers within the District are currently constructing certain underground facilities within the District's boundaries. The District has agreed to reimburse the developers for these construction and related engineering costs plus interest not to exceed the interest rate of the applicable District bond issue. These amounts are to be reimbursed from the proceeds of a future bond issue to the extent approved by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. The District's engineer stated that cost of the construction in progress at October 31, 2010, was \$3,219,537. This amount has been recorded in the government-wide financial statements and in the schedules in Notes 4 and 5.

As of October 31, 2010, the debt service requirements on the bonds outstanding were as follows:

Fiscal			
Year	<u>Principal</u>	Interest	Total
2011	\$ 210,000	\$ 108,417	\$ 318,417
2012	210,000	98,933	308,933
2013	210,000	89,342	299,342
2014	210,000	79,648	289,648
2015	210,000	69,813	279,813
2016 - 2020	1,040,000	197,278	1,237,278
2021 - 2024	280,000	31,325	311,325
	\$ 2,370,000	\$ 674,756	\$ 3,044,756
Bonds voted Bonds approved fo Bonds voted and no Refunding bonds voted Refunding bonds so Refunding bonds voted	ot issued oted		\$ 47,000,000 7,685,000 39,315,000 12,000,000 3,100,000 8,900,000

The bonds are payable from the proceeds of an ad valorem tax levied upon all property subject to taxation within the District, without limitation as to rate or amount.

The bond issues payable at October 31, 2010, were as follows:

	Series 2000	Series 2003
Amounts outstanding, October 31, 2010	\$1,390,000	\$980,000
Interest rates	5.00% to 5.40%	3.35% to 4.50%
Maturity dates, serially beginning/ending	March 1, 2011/2020	September 1, 2011/2024
Interest payment dates	March 1/September 1	March 1/September 1
Callable dates	March 1, 2010*	September 1, 2012*

^{*}Or any date thereafter, callable at par plus accrued interest in whole or in part at the option of the District.

NOTE 6: PROPERTY TAXES

The Harris County Appraisal District has the responsibility for appraising property for all taxing units within the county as of January 1 of each year, subject to review and change by the county Appraisal Review Board. The appraisal roll, as approved by the Appraisal Review Board, must be used by the District in establishing its tax roll and tax rate. The District's taxes are usually levied in the fall, are due when billed and become delinquent after January 31 of the following year or 30 days after the date billed, whichever is later. On January 1 of each year, a statutory tax lien attaches to property to secure the payment of all taxes, penalties and interest ultimately imposed for the year on the property.

The Bond Orders require that the District levy and collect an ad valorem debt service tax sufficient to pay interest and principal on bonds when due and the cost of assessing and collecting taxes.

At an election held April 6, 1974, the voters within the District authorized a maintenance tax not to exceed \$0.15 per \$100 valuation on all property subject to taxation within the District. This maintenance tax is being used by the General Fund to pay expenditures of operating the District.

On October 26, 2010, the District levied the following ad valorem taxes for the 2010 tax year and the 2011 fiscal year on the adjusted taxable valuation of \$97,097,233:

	 Rate	 Amount	
Debt service Maintenance	\$ 0.4400 0.1500	\$ 427,364 145,692	
	\$ 0.5900	\$ 573,056	

A reconciliation of the tax levy to property tax revenues on the Statement of Activities is as follows:

2010 tax year total property tax levy	\$ 573,056
2010 tax year total property tax levy deferred to 2011 fiscal year	(573,056)
2009 tax year total property tax levy deferred	(373,030)
to 2010 fiscal year	567,914
Appraisal district adjustments to prior year taxes	 66,806
Statement of Activities property tax revenues	\$ 634,720

NOTE 7: DEPOSITS

The District complied with the requirements of the Public Funds Investment Act during the current fiscal year including the preparation of quarterly investment reports required by the Act.

State statutes authorize the District to invest and reinvest in direct or indirect obligations of the United States, the State of Texas, any county, city, school district, or other political subdivision of the state, or in local government investment pools authorized under the Public Funds Investment Act. Funds of the District may be placed in certificates of deposit of state or national banks or savings and loan associations within the state provided that they are secured in the manner provided for the security of the funds under the laws of the State of Texas. In accordance with the District's investment policies, during the current year the District's funds were invested in interest bearing accounts at authorized financial institutions.

In accordance with state statutes and the District's investment policies, the District requires that insurance or security be provided by depositories for all funds held by them. At the balance sheet date, the carrying amount of the District's deposits was \$1,303,872 and the bank balance was \$1,349,404. Of the bank balance, \$509,398 was covered by federal insurance and \$840,006 was covered by the market value of collateral held by the District's custodial bank in the District's name. The market value of collateral was reported to the District by the depository.

Deposits restricted by state statutes and the Bond Orders:

Debt Service Fund

For payment of debt principal and interest, paying agent fees and costs of assessing and collecting taxes:

Cash Temporary investments	\$	255,701 220,000
	<u>\$</u>	475,701
Capital Projects Fund		
For construction of capital assets:		
Cash	<u>\$</u>	448,108

NOTE 8: RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to: torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; personal injuries and natural disasters. Significant losses are covered by insurance as described below. There were no significant reductions in insurance coverage from the prior fiscal year. There have been no settlements which have exceeded the insurance coverage for each of the past three fiscal years.

At October 31, 2010, the District had physical damage and boiler and machinery coverage of \$3,860,000, comprehensive general liability coverage with a per occurrence limit of \$1,000,000 and \$3,000,000 general aggregate, pollution liability coverage of \$1,000,000, umbrella liability coverage of \$1,000,000, worker's compensation coverage of \$1,000,000, consultant's crime coverage of \$50,000 and a tax assessor-collector bond of \$10,000.

NOTE 9: JOINT VENTURES WITH OTHER GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

Wastewater Treatment Plant

The District is a participant with several other districts and developers in the Williamsburg Regional Sewage Treatment Plant (the "Plant"). Oversight of the Plant is exercised by the Williamsburg Regional Sewage Authority (the "Authority"). The Authority is governed by a Board of Delegates whose members are appointed by the boards of directors of the participating districts. Each participating district appoints one delegate.

The Authority was formed by agreements between Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61 and West Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 2 on September 29, 1976, as amended November 1, 1980, to include Harris County Municipal Utility District Nos. 62, 63, 64, 65, and West Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 5. The governing contract has been amended on August 15, 2001, March 17, 2004, June 17, 2009, December 16, 2009 and June 16, 2010. On August 18, 2010, the participants adopted a resolution which acknowledged the ownership of each participant's capacity in the Williamsburg Regional Sewage Treatment Plant (the "Plant") as follows: Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61 -- 15.33%; Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 62 -- 16.87%; Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 63 -- 4.64%; Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 65 -- 18.04%; West Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 5 -- 10.08%; Westside Ventures, Ltd. -- 3.86%. Construction costs of the Plant are funded by the contribution of funds from each participating district. The Authority issues no debt. Each participant records its share of the capital assets of the Plant in its financial statements.

Each participant is responsible only for its share of the operating costs of the Authority which are allocated and billed monthly based upon each participant's equivalent sewer connections serviced by the Authority. During the year ended October 31, 2010, the District's share of the Authority's general expenditures was \$108,289. The District's share of the Authority's operating reserve was \$10,000 at October 31, 2010.

The following summary financial data of the Authority's General Fund is presented for the Authority's fiscal year ended October 31, 2010:

	Authority <u>Total</u>	District's Share
Total assets Total liabilities	\$ 172,598 (102,598)	
Total fund balance Operating reserve	70,000 <u>(70,000)</u>	\$ 10,000 (10,000)
Undesignated fund balance	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>
Total revenues Total expenditures	\$ 873,953 (873,953)	\$ 108,289 (108,289)
Excess revenues (expenditures)	0	0
Fund balance, beginning of year	70,000	10,000
Fund balance, end of year	\$ 70,000	\$ 10,000

In connection with the expansion of the Plant, developers within another district had agreed to lease a portion of the District's capacity in the Plant until such time as the expansion was completed. \$14,080 in lease revenues were recorded under the terms of this lease during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010.

Joint Water Plant Facilities

On July 23, 1979, the District, Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 62 (District No. 62) and West Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 2 (District No. 2) entered into a contract for the construction, operation and ownership of joint water plant facilities (the "Facilities"). The contract was for a term of 40 years. On October 8, 1998, the District approved an Agreement for Sale and Assignment of District No. 2's rights in the contract to Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 71 (District No. 71). On August 5, 2002, as amended November 7, 2002, the District purchased District No. 71's rights in the contract. Oversight of the Facilities is exercised by the Board of Directors of the District. The Facilities issues no debt.

The districts share in the costs of operating the Facilities based on the volume of water received by each district. Capital repairs and improvements are shared based on capacity owned. The districts are billed monthly. During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010, the District's share of the operating cost of the Facilities was \$228,149. Activity of the Facilities is accounted for in the Special Revenue Fund of the District. The District has advanced \$20,000 of the Facilities' \$30,000 operating reserve.

Reimbursement of Drainage Easement Costs

Prior to 1982, the District paid \$100,000 for a drainage easement which benefits other districts. The Commission recommended that the other districts reimburse the District for the pro rata share of the easement plus interest. The District received appropriate reimbursement from several of the other district during prior years. Additional amounts are due from Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 63 (\$8,342 excluding interest) and West Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 5 (\$7,112 excluding interest). These amounts are to be funded from future bond sales and are recorded as receivables in the government-wide financial statements.

NOTE 10: REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY

The West Harris County Regional Water Authority (the "Authority") was created by House Bill 1842, Acts of the 77th Legislature, Regular Session 2001. The Authority is a political subdivision of the State of Texas, governed by an elected nine member Board of Directors. The Authority is empowered to, among other powers, "acquire or develop surface water and groundwater supplies from sources inside of or outside of the boundaries of the authority and may conserve, store, transport, treat, purify, distribute, sell and deliver water to persons, corporations, municipal corporations, political subdivisions of the state, and others, inside of and outside of the boundaries of the authority." The Authority is also empowered to "establish fees and charges as necessary to enable the authority to fulfill the authority's regulatory obligations." Surface water pumpage fees are payable to the Authority though the water supply contract with District No. 62 (see Note 9). The well pumpage fees payable to the Authority for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010, were \$171,691. The District billed its customers \$103,250 during the fiscal year to pay for the fees charged by the Authority. In addition, the District received credits of \$24,048 under the provisions of the Capital Contribution Contract described below.

In 2006, the District and the Authority entered in to a Capital Contribution Contract (the "Contract"). In accordance with the Contract, the District contributed \$355,443 to the Authority during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007, to pay for its share of the costs of constructing infrastructure to bring surface water to the District. Under the terms of the Contract, the District will receive a credit in the amount of \$12,024 in 2007 and \$24,048 annually for the next 25 calendar years. This credit is to be applied: first, against the District's pumpage fee, if any; second, against any amounts due to the Authority for water purchases or any other reason; and third, paid to the District by the Authority each in installments as payments are due, not less frequently than annually. The credit is based upon the amortization of the District's contribution beginning in 2007 at a rate of 4.64% over 26 years.

The District reports the surface water fee charges incurred by the District at the gross amount of the charges. Credits received under the terms of the Contracts are recorded as either a repayment of the principal amount of the prepaid surface water capital contribution or interest earned on the prepayment at the interest rate of the applicable Contract. The principal is amortized based upon the life of the credits and the interest rate of the applicable Contract. During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010, the District received credits of \$24,048 under the provisions of the Contract. \$8,405 of this amount was recorded as principal and \$15,643 was recorded as interest.

A summary of the amortization of the remaining scheduled credits for the prepaid capital contributions is as follows:

Credits Due During	
Fiscal Years	

Ending October 31	Principal	InterestT	
2011	\$ 8,803	\$ 15,245	\$ 24,048
2012	9,221	14,827	24,048
2013	9,658	14,390	24,048
2014	10,115	13,933	24,048
2015	10,594	13,454	24,048
2016-2020	60,995	59,245	120,240
2021-2025	76,881	43,359	120,240
2026-2030	96,907	23,333	120,240
2031-2033	49,481	2,623	52,104
TOTALS	\$ 332,655	\$ 200,409	\$ 533,064

NOTE 11: STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

Effective April 11, 2003 (amended effective December 14, 2010), the District and the City of Houston (the "City") entered into a 30 year Strategic Partnership Agreement (the "Agreement"). Under the terms of the Agreement, the City annexed a portion of the District (the "Partial District") for the limited purposes of applying the City's Planning, Zoning, Health, and Safety Ordinances within the Partial District. The Agreement states that the District and all taxable property within the District shall not be liable for any present or future debts of the City and current and future taxes levied by the City shall not be levied on taxable property with the District. During the term of the SPA, the City has agreed not to annex all or part of the District or commence any action to annex all or part of the District for full purposes.

The City imposed a Sales and Use Tax within the boundaries of the Partial District at the time of the limited-purpose annexation of the Partial District. The Agreement provides that the City shall pay to the District one half of all Sales and Use Tax revenues generated within the boundaries of the Partial District and received by the City from the Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State of Texas. The District accrued Sales and Use Tax revenues of \$18,777 from the City for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010.

NOTE 12: GROUNDWATER BANK CERTIFICATES

The District has purchased Groundwater Bank certificates directly from the issuer, the Harris-Galveston Subsidence District (the "HGCSD"). These certificates expire in 40 years (certificates issued after August 1, 2001 expire in 20 years) and allow the bearer to pump the quantity of water specified on the certificate from wells instead of using surface water as mandated by the District. Certificates can also be used in lieu of a \$5.00 per 1,000 gallons disincentive fee assessed by the District for ground water pumpage in excess of the District's permit as amended. At October 31, 2010, the District had in its possession certificates totaling 25,250,400 gallons of water (9,542,400 40 year certificates and 15,708,000 20 year certificates). The District values the certificates at cost which resulted in a total cost basis for the certificates on hand of \$9,487 at October 31, 2010.

NOTE 13: ADJUSTMENT TO GENERAL FUND BALANCE

During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010, the District determined that engineering fees in the amount of \$29,928 which had been incurred in fiscal years 2008 and 2009 were not due from a developer and were expenditures of the District. Accordingly, the beginning of year General Fund balance and net assets were decreased by that amount.

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE, BUDGET AND ACTUAL, GENERAL FUND

FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2010

	Budgeted	I Amounts		Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)	
	Original	Final	Actual		
REVENUES					
Property taxes	\$ 157,000	\$ 157,400	\$ 162,230	\$ 4,830	
Water service	193,000	185,000	164,183	(20,817)	
Sewer service	123,000	112,000	118,418	6,418	
Surface water fees	75,000	75,000	103,250	28,250	
Penalty	0	0	6,305	6,305	
Lease revenue	21,000	14,080	14,080	0	
Sales and Use Taxes	0	12,000	18,777	6,777	
Interest on prepaid surface water capital cont.	0	0	15,643	15,643	
Interest on deposits	10,000	2,200	2,040	(160)	
Other revenues	100	625	7,884	7,259	
TOTAL REVENUES	579,100	558,305	612,810	54,505	
EXPENDITURES					
Service operations:					
Purchased services	266,160	263,360	336,438	73,078	
Professional fees	114,400	146,288	170,305	24,017	
Contracted services	27,000	27,000	24,358	(2,642)	
Utilities	5,500	5,500	4,812	(688)	
Repairs and maintenance	72,000	68,002	74,382	6,380	
Other operating expenditures	2,900	2,717	4,337	1,620	
Administrative expenditures	67,220	86,058	47,442	(38,616)	
Capital outlay	23,920	154,905	39,549	(115,356)	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	579,100	753,830	701,623	(52,207)	
EXCESS REVENUES (EXPENSES)	0	(195,525)	(88,813)	106,712	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
Contribution by developer	0	100,000	100,000	0	
TOTAL OTHER FINANCIAL SOURCES (USES)	0	100,000	100,000	0	
EXCESS SOURCES (USES)	0	(95,525)	11,187	106,712	
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	689,915	689,915	689,915	0	
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 689,915	\$ 594,390	\$ 701,102	\$ 106,712	

The District's Board of Directors adopts an annual nonappropriated budget. This budget may be amended throughout the fiscal year and is prepared on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles.

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE, BUDGET AND ACTUAL, SPECIAL REVENUE FUND

FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2010

	Budgeted	I Amounts		Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)	
	Original	Final	Actual		
REVENUES					
From participants in plant: Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61 Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 62 Interest on deposits	\$ 176,680 75,720 0	\$ 176,680 75,720 0	\$ 228,149 83,117 0	\$ 51,469 7,397 0	
TOTAL REVENUES	252,400	252,400	311,266	58,866	
EXPENDITURES					
Service operations:					
Professional fees	11,000	11,000	15,408	4,408	
Contracted services	12,300	12,300	12,425	125	
Utilities	60,000	60,000	55,661	(4,339)	
Surface water pumpage fees	105,000	105,000	171,691	66,691	
Repairs and maintenance	48,000	48,000	37,043	(10,957)	
Other operating expenditures	9,000	9,000	12,938	3,938	
Administrative expenditures	7,100	7,100	6,100	(1,000)	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	252,400	252,400	311,266	58,866	
EXCESS REVENUES (EXPENSES)	0	0	0	0	
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	30,000	30,000	30,000	0	
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 0	

The District's Board of Directors adopts an annual nonappropriated budget. This budget may be amended throughout the fiscal year and is prepared on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles.

SCHEDULE OF TEXAS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

OCTOBER 31, 2010

(Schedules included are checked or explanatory notes provided for omitted schedules.)

[X]	TSI-1.	Services and Rates
[X]	TSI-2.	General Fund Expenditures
[X]	TSI-3.	Temporary Investments
[X]	TSI-4.	Taxes Levied and Receivable
[X]	TSI-5.	Long-Term Debt Service Requirements by Years
[X]	TSI-6.	Changes in General Long-Term Bonded Debt
[X]	TSI-7.	Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - General Fund and Debt Service Fund - Five Year
[X]	TSI-8.	Board Members, Key Personnel and Consultants

SCHEDULE OF SERVICES AND RATES

OCTOBER 31, 2010

1.	Services Provided I	by the District du	ring the Fiscal Y	ear:			
	X Retail Water X Retail Wastewa Parks/Recreatio Solid Waste/Gai X Participates in journal (other than eme Other	n rbage		Vastewater on ol	Drainage Irrigation Security Roads ater service		
2.	Retail Service Prov	iders					
	a. Retail Rates for a 5/8" meter (or equivalent):						
		Minimum Charge	Minimum Usage	Flat Rate Y/N	Rate per 1000 Gallons Over Minimum	Usage Levels	
	WATER:	\$10.00	5,000	N	\$1.35 1.60 1.85 2.35	5,001 to 15,000 15,001 to 25,000 25,001 to 35,000 Over 35,000	
	WASTEWATER:	\$15.00		Υ			
	SURCHARGE: \$1.38 per 1,000 gallons of water used. – WHCRWA surface water fees.						
	District employs wir	nter averaging fo	r wastewater us	age: Yes _	_ No _X_		
	Total charges per 1	0 000 gallons us	sage: Water: \$	16.75 W	astewater: \$15.00	Surcharge: \$13.80	

SCHEDULE OF SERVICES AND RATES (Continued)

OCTOBER 31, 2010

b. Water and Wastewater Retail Connections (unaudited):

Meter Size	Total Connections	Active Connections	ESFC* Factor	Active ESFCs
Unmetered	0	0	1	0
	· ·	· ·		· ·
< or = 3/4"	567	565	1	565
1"	1	1	2.5	3
1-1/2"	3	3	5	15
2"	9	9	8	72
3"	0	0	15	0
4"	0	0	25	0
6"	0	0	50	0
8"	0	0	80	0
10"	0	0	115	0
Total Water	580	578		655
Total Wastewater	571	569	1	569

^{*}Single family equivalents

(Gallons billed/ gallons pumped):

3. Total Water Consumption during the Fiscal Year (rounded to thousands):

Gallons pumped into system (unaudited):	133,635
Gallons billed to No. 61 customers (unaudited):	86,599
Gallons billed to No. 62 customers (unaudited):	31,521
Total gallons billed to customers (unaudited):	118,120
Water Accountability Ratio	

4. Standby Fees (authorized only under TWC Section 49.231):

Does the District have Debt Service standby fees? Yes No X
If yes, date of the most recent Commission Order:
Does the District have Operation and Maintenance standby fees? Yes No
If yes, date of the most recent Commission Order:

88%

X

EXPENDITURES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2010

	General Fund	Special Revenue Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Totals (Memorandum Only)
CURRENT					
Purchased services: Water Sewer	\$ 228,149 108,289 336,438	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 228,149 108,289 336,438
Professional fees: Auditing Legal Engineering	9,700 116,648 43,957 170,305	1,000 14,408 15,408	3,649	13,944 13,944	10,700 120,297 72,309 203,306
Contracted services: Bookkeeping Operation and billing Tax assessor-collector Central appraisal district	10,650 13,708 24,358	3,700 8,725 12,425	10,900 5,213 16,113	0	14,350 22,433 10,900 5,213 52,896
Utilities	4,812	55,661	0	0	60,473
Surface water pumpage fees	0	171,691	0	0	171,691
Repairs and maintenance	74,382	37,043	0	18,825	130,250
Other operating expenditures: Chemicals Laboratory costs TCEQ assessment Other	813 1,317 2,207 4,337	12,938	0	0	12,938 813 1,317 2,207 17,275
Administrative expenditures: Director's fees Office supplies and postage Insurance Permit fees Other	11,150 17,701 5,858 1,638 11,095 47,442	523 5,577 6,100	50 10,764 10,814	0	11,150 18,224 11,485 1,638 21,859 64,356
CAPITAL OUTLAY					
Authorized expenditures	39,549	0	0	3,422	42,971
DEBT SERVICE					
Principal retirement	0	0	210,000	0	210,000
Interest and fees: Interest Paying agent fees	0	0	117,763 1,322 119,085	0	117,763 1,322 119,085
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ 701,623</u>	<u>\$ 311,266</u>	\$ 359,661	\$ 36,191	\$ 1,408,741

ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN DEPOSITS ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2010

SOURCES OF DEPOSITS	General Fund	Special Revenue Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Totals (Memorandum Only)
Cash receipts from revenues excluding maintenance taxes Maintenance tax collections Maintenance tax transfers Contribution from developer Principal repayment from surface water capital contribution	\$ 461,338 161,744 62,000 8,405	\$ 330,003	\$ 494,436 162,230	\$ 8,306	\$ 1,294,083 162,230 161,744 62,000 8,405
Overpayments from taxpayers TOTAL DEPOSITS PROVIDED	693,487	330,003	4,700 661,366	8,306	<u>4,700</u> <u>1,693,162</u>
APPLICATIONS OF DEPOSITS	<u> </u>	330,003	001,300	<u> </u>	1,095,102
Cash disbursements for: Current expenditures Capital outlay Debt service Developer Maintenance tax transfers Decrease in customer and builder deposits Refund of taxpayer overpayments	704,945 39,549 8,030 275	301,540	31,982 329,085 161,744 4,864	7,209 3,422	1,045,676 42,971 329,085 8,030 161,744 275 4,864
TOTAL DEPOSITS APPLIED	752,799	301,540	527,675	10,631	1,592,645
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN DEPOSITS	(59,312)	28,463	133,691	(2,325)	100,517
DEPOSITS BALANCES, BEGINNING OF YEAR	409,459	1,453	342,010	450,433	1,203,355
DEPOSITS BALANCES, END OF YEAR	\$ 350,147	\$ 29,916	\$ 475,701	\$ 448,108	\$ 1,303,872

SCHEDULE OF CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT

OCTOBER 31, 2010

	Interest <u>Rate</u>	Maturity <u>Date</u>	Year End Balance		Accrued Interest Receivable	
DEBT SERVICE FUND						
Certificates of Deposit						
No. 3116002588 No. 6000012069	1.25% 1.20%	2/22/11 2/22/11	\$	120,000 100,000	\$	279 227
			\$	220,000	\$	506
Total – All Funds			\$	220,000	\$	506

TAXES LEVIED AND RECEIVABLE

FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2010

	Maintenance Taxes	Debt Service Taxes		
RECEIVABLE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	\$ 146,892	\$ 430,964		
Additions and corrections to prior year taxes	16,989	49,817		
Adjusted receivable, beginning of year	163,881	480,781		
2010 ADJUSTED TAX ROLL	145,692	427,364		
Total to be accounted for	309,573	908,145		
Tax collections: Current tax year Prior tax years	0 (162,230)	0 (475,936)		
RECEIVABLE, END OF YEAR	\$ 147,343	\$ 432,209		
RECEIVABLE, BY YEARS				
2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	\$ 4 38 317 226 1,066 	\$ 14 110 931 662 3,128 427,364		
RECEIVABLE, END OF YEAR	\$ 147,343	\$ 432,209		

Fiscal year 2010 General Fund property tax revenue of \$162,230 under the modified accrual basis of accounting is comprised of prior tax year collections of \$162,230 during fiscal year 2010.

Fiscal year 2010 Debt Service Fund property tax revenue of \$475,936 under the modified accrual basis of accounting is comprised of prior tax year collections of \$475,936 during fiscal year 2010.

TAXES LEVIED AND RECEIVABLE (Continued)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2010

ADJUSTED PROPERTY VALUATIONS AS OF JANUARY 1 OF TAX YEAR	2010***	2009	2008	2007
Land Improvements Personal property Less exemptions	\$ 38,097,186 76,342,119 3,428,770 (20,770,842)	\$ 36,303,909 87,474,388 2,802,067 (18,805,203)	\$ 34,014,642 78,662,306 3,650,748 (18,125,699)	\$ 24,503,964 78,269,658 3,812,989 (18,441,078)
TOTAL PROPERTY VALUATIONS	\$ 97,097,233	\$ 107,775,161	\$ 98,201,997	\$ 88,145,533
TAX RATES PER \$100 VALUATION				
Debt service tax rates Maintenance tax rates*	\$ 0.44000 0.15000	\$ 0.44000 0.15000	\$ 0.44000 0.15000	\$ 0.44000 0.15000
TOTAL TAX RATES PER \$100 VALUATION	\$ 0.59000	\$ 0.59000	\$ 0.59000	<u>\$ 0.59000</u>
TAX ROLLS	\$ 573,056	\$ 635,871	\$ 579,386	\$ 520,053
PERCENT OF TAXES COLLECTED TO TAXES LEVIED	0.0%	o** 99.4 %	6* 99.9 %	% 99.8 %

^{*}Maximum tax rate approved by voters on April 6, 1974: \$0.15

^{**}The District's taxes are usually levied in the fall and are not delinquent until after the following January 31.

^{***}Approximately \$9,500,000 remained uncertified at October 31, 2010.

LONG-TERM BONDED DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS, BY YEARS

OCTOBER 31, 2010

	Series 2000	
Principal Due March 1	Interest Due March 1, September 1	Total
\$ 140,000 140,000 140,000 140,000 140,000 140,000 140,000 135,000 \$ 1,390,000	\$ 68,272 61,273 54,272 47,238 40,133 32,922 25,608 18,222 10,901 3,645 \$ 362,486	\$ 208,272 201,273 194,272 187,238 180,133 172,922 165,608 158,222 145,901 138,645 \$ 1,752,486
	Series 2003	
Principal Due September 1	Interest Due March 1, September 1	Total
\$ 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 \$ 980,000	\$ 40,145 37,660 35,070 32,410 29,680 26,880 24,080 21,280 18,340 15,400 12,425 9,450 6,300 3,150	\$ 110,145 107,660 105,070 102,410 99,680 96,880 94,080 91,280 88,340 85,400 82,425 79,450 76,300 73,150
	Due March 1 \$ 140,000 140,000 140,000 140,000 140,000 140,000 140,000 135,000 135,000 \$ 1,390,000 \$ 70,000	Principal Due Due March 1, September 1 Interest Due March 1, September 1 \$ 140,000 \$ 68,272 140,000 61,273 140,000 54,272 140,000 47,238 140,000 32,922 140,000 25,608 140,000 18,222 135,000 10,901 135,000 3,645 \$ 1,390,000 \$ \$ 362,486 \$ 70,000 \$ \$ 40,145 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 12,280 70,000 70,000 70,000 12,425 70,000 70,00

LONG-TERM BONDED DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS, BY YEARS (Continued) OCTOBER 31, 2010

Annual Requirements for All Series **Due During** Total Total Fiscal Years Principal Interest Ending October 31 Due Due Total 2011 \$ 210,000 \$ 108,417 \$ 318,417 2012 210,000 98,933 308,933 2013 210,000 89,342 299,342 79,648 2014 210,000 289,648 2015 210,000 69,813 279,813 2016 210,000 59,802 269,802 2017 49,688 259,688 210,000 2018 210,000 39,502 249,502 2019 205,000 29,241 234,241 2020 205,000 19,045 224,045 2021 70,000 12,425 82,425 2022 70,000 9,450 79,450 2023 70,000 6,300 76,300 2024 3,150 70,000 73,150 **TOTALS** 2,370,000 674,756 3,044,756

ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN GENERAL LONG-TERM BONDED DEBT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2010

	(1)	(2)	Totals
Bond Series:	2000	2003	
Interest Rate:	5.00% to 5.40%	3.35% to 4.50%	
Dates Interest Payable:	March 1/ September 1	March 1/ September 1	
Maturity Dates:	March 1, 2011/2020	March 1, 2011/2024	
Bonds Outstanding at Beginning of Current Year	\$ 1,530,000	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 2,580,000
Less Retirements	(140,000)	(70,000)	(210,000)
Bonds Outstanding at End of Current Year	\$ 1,390,000	\$ 980,000	\$ 2,370,000
Current Year Interest Paid	\$ 75,273	\$ 42,490	\$ 117,763

Bond Descriptions and Original Amount of Issue

- (1) Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61 Waterworks and Sewer System Combination Unlimited Tax and Revenue Bonds, Series 2000 (\$1,950,000)
- (2) Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61 Waterworks and Sewer System Combination Unlimited Tax and Revenue Bonds, Series 2003 (\$1,275,000)

Paying Agent/Registrar

- (1) The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Dallas, Texas
- (2) Wells Fargo Bank Texas, N.A., Houston, Texas

Bond Authority	Tax Bonds	Other Bonds	Refunding Bonds
Amount Authorized by Voters:	\$47,000,000	\$0	\$12,000,000
Amount Issued:	7,685,000		3,100,000
Remaining to be Issued:	39,315,000		8,900,000

Net Debt Service Fund deposits and investments balances as of October 31, 2010: \$477,642

Average annual debt service payment for remaining term of all debt: 217,483

COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES, GENERAL FUND

FOR YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31

	AMOUNT*			PERCENT OF TOTAL REVENUES						
	2010	2009**	2008**	2007	2006	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
REVENUES						· 			· <u> </u>	
Property taxes	\$ 162,230	\$ 147,047	\$ 123,521	\$ 153,547	\$ 121,280	26.5 %	24.3 %	22.7 %	27.7 %	23 %
Water service	164,183	171,626	145,863	122,015	147,690	26.8	28.3	26.8	22.0	28.1
Sewer service	118,418	98,700	94,624	96,432	93,248	19.3	16.2	17.3	17.4	17.7
Surface water fees	103,250	95,412	75,957	52,612	57,789	16.8	15.7	13.9	9.5	11.0
Penalty	6,305	6,814	6,039	15,681	21,537	1.0	1.1	1.1	2.8	4.1
Tap connection and inspection fees	0	21,572	0	900	280	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.3	0.1
Lease revenue	14,080	21,143	21,106	39,124	46,590	2.3	3.5	3.9	7.1	8.9
Sales and Use Taxes	18,777	14,055	39,578	57,718	24,630	3.1	2.3	7.3	10.4	4.7
Interest on prepaid surface water capital contribution	15,643	16,023	16,386			2.6	2.6	3.0		
Interest on deposits	2,040	6,247	11,863	15,440	13,174	0.3	1.0	2.2	2.8	2.4
Other revenues	7,884	9,180	9,848			1.3	1.5	1.8		
TOTAL REVENUES	612,810	607,819	544,785	553,469	526,218	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
EXPENDITURES										
Current:										
Purchased services	336,438	321,661	288,603	322,691	222,621	54.9	52.9	53.0	58.3	42.3
Professional fees	170,305	216,060	134,642	83,663	59,472	27.8	35.5	24.7	15.1	11.3
Contracted services	24,358	24,491	23,061	23,446	23,958	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.6
Utilities	4,812	5,347	3,810	5,496	5,980	0.8	0.9	0.7	1.0	1.1
Repairs and maintenance	74,382	96,675	46,025	65,823	60,991	12.1	16.0	8.5	11.9	11.6
Other operating expenditures	4,337	2,552	1,902	43,138	46,853	0.7	0.4	0.3	7.8	8.9
Administrative expenditures	47,442	36,543	41,742			7.7	6.0	7.7		
Capital outlay	39,549	21,954	4,317	2,626	0	6.5	3.6	8.0	0.5	0.0
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	701,623	725,283	544,102	546,883	419,875	114.5	119.3	99.9	98.8	79.8
EXCESS REVENUES (EXPENDITURES)	<u>\$ (88,813)</u>	<u>\$ (117,464)</u>	\$ 683	\$ 6,586	\$ 106,343	<u>(14.5)</u> %	<u>(19.3)</u> %	0.1 %	1.2 %	20.2 %
TOTAL ACTIVE RETAIL WATER CONNECTIONS	578	578	575	<u>572</u>	<u>570</u>					
TOTAL ACTIVE DETAIL										
TOTAL ACTIVE RETAIL WASTEWATER CONNECTIONS	569	572	571	569	567					

^{*}Classifications prior to 2008 from 2007 Annual Audit Report.

$\frac{\texttt{COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES,}}{\texttt{DEBT SERVICE FUND}}$

FOR YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31

	AMOUNT			PERCENT OF TOTAL REVENUES						
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
REVENUES										
Property taxes	\$ 475,936	\$ 431,337	\$ 363,359	\$ 456,509	\$ 467,121	96.3 %	97.1 %	95.7 %	92.3 %	95.5 %
Penalty and interest	12,649	6,183	5,613	25,252	14,926	2.6	1.4	1.5	5.1	3.1
Interest on deposits	5,309	6,888	10,559	12,877	7,240	1.1	1.5	2.8	2.6	1.5
TOTAL REVENUES	493,894	444,408	379,531	494,638	489,287	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.1
EXPENDITURES										
Current:										
Professional fees	3,649	2,697	1,908	8,815	5,568	0.7	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.1
Contracted services	16,113	15,677	16,763	15,167	15,438	3.3	3.5	4.4	3.1	3.2
Other expenditures	10,814	9,114	10,863	4,404	7,109	2.2	2.1	2.9	0.9	1.5
Debt service:										
Principal retirement	210,000	215,000	215,000	215,000	340,000	42.5	48.4	56.7	43.5	69.5
Interest and fees	119,085	128,511	137,942	147,194	161,832	24.1	28.9	36.3	29.8	33.1
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	359,661	370,999	382,476	390,580	529,947	72.8	83.5	100.8	79.0	108.4
EXCESS REVENUES (EXPENDITURES)	\$ 134,233	\$ 73,409	\$ (2,945)	\$ 104,058	\$ (40,660)	<u>27.2</u> %	<u>16.5</u> %	(0.8) %	21 %	(8.3) %

BOARD MEMBERS, KEY PERSONNEL AND CONSULTANTS

OCTOBER 31, 2010

Complete District Mailing Address: Harris County Municipal Utility District No. 61

c/o Marks Richardson PC

3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 830

Houston, Texas 77098

<u>District Business Telephone No.:</u> 713-942-9922

Submission date of the most recent District Registration Form: July 6, 2010

Limit on Fees of Office that a Director may receive during a fiscal year: \$7,200

BOARD MEMBERS

Name and Address	Term of Office (Elected/ Appointed)	Fees of Office Paid	Expense Reimb.	Title at Year End
R. D. Sherrill c/o Marks Richardson PC 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 830 Houston, Texas 77098	Elected 5/10/08- 5/12/12	\$ 2,600	\$ 91	President
Wheeler BeMent c/o Marks Richardson PC 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 830 Houston, Texas 77098	Elected 5/08/10- 5/10/14	750	0	Vice President
W. R. Lusby c/o Marks Richardson PC 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 830 Houston, Texas 77098	Appointed 9/08/09 5/12/12	1,950	8	Secretary
Richard Erbert c/o Marks Richardson PC 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 830 Houston, Texas 77098	Appointed 6/11/09 5/12/12	1,800	105	Assistant Secretary
Billy Lowery c/o Marks Richardson PC 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 830 Houston, Texas 77098	Elected 5/08/10- 5/10/14	750	50	Director

BOARD MEMBERS, KEY PERSONNEL AND CONSULTANTS (Continued)

OCTOBER 31, 2010

CONSULTANTS

Name and Address	Date Hired	Fees and Expense Reimbursements	Title at Year End	
David M. Marks, P.C. 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 830 Houston, Texas 77098	1/9/03	\$ 122,242	Attorney	
Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott, L.L.P. 1235 N. Loop West, Suite 600 Houston, Texas 77008	2/13/97	3,649	Delinquent Tax Attorney	
Myrtle Cruz, Inc. 1621 Milam, Third Floor Houston, Texas 77002	Prior to 11/1/83	16,526	Bookkeeper	
Beulah Kelly 1621 Milam, Third Floor Houston, Texas 77002	3/9/00	0	Investment Officer	
Hays Utility South Corporation P.O. Box 1209 Spring, Texas 77383	12/4/03	140,717	Operator	
A&S Engineers, Inc. 10377 Stella Link Road Houston, Texas 77025	6/16/99	127,842	Engineer	
Cathy Wheeler 6935 Barney Road, Suite 110 Houston, Texas 77092	3/9/06	13,806	Tax Assessor- Collector	
Harris County Appraisal District P.O. Box 900275 Houston, Texas 77292	Legislative Action	5,213	Central Appraisal District	
First Southwest Company 700 Milam Street, Suite 500 Houston, Texas 77002	2/1/96	0	Financial Advisor	
Mark L. Roth 4915 S. Main, Suite 114 Stafford, Texas 77477	8/14/08	10,700	Independent Auditor	